



MASS COLLABORATION


Matthew Pike

CURRENT SPENDING



FUTURE SPENDING





Grow...

Institutions that engage a large number and wide mix of people, with special focus on the least advantaged

Not for profit

Trusteeship that represents the wider community or locality

Solves people's problems the first time around

Addresses priority needs

Accessible

Co-designed

Appropriate

Timely

Effective

Valued

Comprehensive

Builds on existing strengths

Evidence-based programmes

Programmes that build on evidence based practice

Programmes that deliver consistently higher returns

Building on existing local strengths

Co-designing services with those they serve

Investing in collaboration

Taking appropriate levels of risk

Providing flexible resources for innovation

Reducing long-term demand on the system

Cross-sector reinvestment

Thematic, local, pooled budgets

Test new local versions of national policies by RCT

Expert supply chain management

Those with greatest expertise decide on funding

Invest in shared institutions	Focus on people's needs	Pool big social data	Test, grow and scale new solutions	Redesign wider systems that hamper performance
-------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------	--

Cut...



Institutions that are overly limited or narrow in their reach

Poor accountability

Put profit and organisational interest before social mission

Doesn't reach its target audience, Isn't wanted

Doesn't help

Does harm

Creates extra work and cost

Is less effective than others

Not collaborative

Programmes that deliver bottom 10% results on a consistent basis

Overly costly given results

Programmes that do not work to maximise collective impact

Programmes which do not use evidence to justify their methods

Stop programmes quickly that fail to give a better solution to people's needs

Review programmes with wide variation in performance

Overly large contracts

Red tape

Lack of financial transparency

Procurement that fails to prioritise track record

Monopolistic market practices

Minimum viable size for tenders

Unnecessary tender requirements

1. Needs

how many, how severe, how quickly addressed, how well-addressed

2. Strengths

resilience, self-efficacy, networks, resources

4. Economics

unit cost, time in system, new tax revenues

3. Outcomes

linked to purpose of each intervention and goals of each person




LEVEL 1

THE WORK

activities that meet people's needs

DEMANDS



LEVEL 2

SUPPORT SYSTEMS

eg funding, supporters, IT systems

LEVEL 3

ENABLING SYSTEMS

eg policies, rules and regulations

COMMON AGENDA

(Shared measures)

Steering Committee

Governance, Vision, and Strategy

Working Groups



Action Planning

Backbone Organization

Partners



Execution

Community Members



Public Will



A Cradle to Career Vision for Education

Academic



Student & Family Support


StriveTogether
Every child. Cradle to career.

maximise your impact.

collect, analyse and share reliable social impact data.

SIGN UP NOW >
IT'S FREE!

56%



Profile

LEARN HOW TO IMPROVE

Learn more about what ResultsMark can do for you:

- ✔ ResultsMark is FREE Yes, absolutely free.
- ✔ Reliable access the best surveys and standards
- ✔ Comprehensive profiles, surveys, data import
- ✔ Intelligent real time insights you need to improve
- ✔ Reach funders & investors with Ebri's to spend

236

active surveys

£1.4bn

funding available

Phase One for system change

- Engage locally - all sectors and wider community
- Equip - with online systems and skills
- Share – intelligence and ideas
- Re-design - for hundreds of micro improvements
- Build platform – shared governance, vision plus metrics, core capacity and pooled thematic budgets

Phase Two for system change

- A new investment fund to finance the costs of service transformation, as required
- Test, grow and scale innovations on a collaborative basis
- Save on the costs of failure. Re-invest in success. Avoid future demand on the system.

IPPR report: Mass Collaboration

<http://bit.ly/IPPR14403>

matthew.pike529@gmail.com